

Atty. Docket No. 04-15063  
Resp. to OA Mailed 11/9/04

### REMARKS

This amendment is responsive to the Office Action mailed November 9, 2004 imposing a restriction requirement, the Examiner taking the position three (3) distinct species of inventions were presented, namely figs. 1-5, 6 and 7, and there was no generic claims.


By this amendment, as required, Applicant elected one of the identified species, that of figs. 1-5, upon which existing claims 1-16 read. Additionally, new claims 21 and 22 are believed to read on all species of the invention such that all the claims should be examined. Applicant retains the right to prosecute any claims not examined in a divisional application.

Applicant now awaits substantive examination of the application and solicits a timely notice of allowance. Please contact the undersigned with any questions or comments.

Respectfully submitted,

LAUSON & ASSOCIATES

By

  
Robert J. Lauson  
Reg. No. 41,930  
Tel.: (310) 546-8170  
Fax: (310) 546-8171  
Email: [bob@lausonlaw.com](mailto:bob@lausonlaw.com)

Dated: January 4, 2005

cc: Steve Feher